

September 10, 2019

Via Electronic Mail

FOIA Officer U.S. Customs and Border Protection 90 K Street, NE FOIA Division Washington, DC 20229

RE: Request Under the Freedom of Information Act U.S. Customs and Border Protection Use of Social Media Data Training Materials

To whom it may concern:

This letter constitutes a request under the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") and is submitted on behalf of the Center for Democracy & Technology ("CDT")¹to United States Customs and Border Protection ("CBP"). CDT respectfully requests records pertaining to the training CBP personnel receive relating to the monitoring of social media information.

I. Requested Documents

- 1) Any guidance documents or training materials provided to CBP personnel on the treatment of First Amendment protected activity on social media as referred to on page 4 of the March 25, 2019 DHS/CBP/PIA-058;² and
- 2) The social media training provided CBP personnel from the Office of Chief Counsel and the CBP Privacy and Diversity Office as referred to on page 4 of the March 25, 2019 DHS/CBP/PIA-058.³

II. Background

On March 25, 2019, CBP issued a Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA), "Publicly Available Social Media Monitoring and Situational Awareness Initiative DHS/CBP/PIA-058," examining CBP's

¹ The Center for Democracy & Technology is a 501(c)(3) organization that advocates for global online civil liberties and human rights. CDT drives policy outcomes that keep the internet open, innovative, and free. The organization supports laws, corporate policies, and technology tools that protect privacy, and advocates for stronger legal controls on government surveillance. https://cdt.org/about/.

² U.S. Dep't of Homeland Sec., Customs and Border Protection, DHS/CBP/PIA-058, Privacy Impact Assessment for the Publicly Available Social Media Monitoring and Situational Awareness Initiative, 4 (March 25, 2019), https://www.dhs.gov/sites/default/files/publications/privacy-pia-cbp58-socialmedia-march2019.pdf.

³ *Id.*

implementation of operational social media monitoring.⁴ The PIA states that CBP searches public social media posts to further the agency's "situational awareness" including identifying "natural disasters, threats of violence, and other harmful events and activities" that may threaten the safety of CBP personnel or facilities, including ports of entry. CBP makes use of Internet-based platforms as well as government and commercially developed tools that facilitate the monitoring of social media sites. Many of these tools use keywords to search for and pull content. CBP employees then review the content captured by these tools for relevance to "situational awareness and threat monitoring." The PIA observes that "[p]rior to collecting information from social media, CBP employees will determine whether a posting is protected by the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution and whether the collection of information is permissible under the Privacy Act." To aid these determinations, CBP personnel receive "training to distinguish between First Amendment protected activities and credible threats" which requires the "use of a balancing test when assessing whether or not speech is deemed a threat." Furthermore, "CBP personnel receive social media training from the Office of Chief Counsel and the CBP Privacy and Diversity office on how to identity First Amendment activity and determine if social media posts discuss protected activities, such as protests, or if they are credible threats for which CBP personnel should take action."11

The guidance and training CBP personnel receive for monitoring and using social media information is of great public interest. Government surveillance of social media raises considerable constitutional and privacy concerns. Indeed, CBP has recently faced scrutiny for targeting activists, journalists and lawyers for surveillance and enhanced scrutiny at ports of entry because they were associated with asylum seekers. ¹² Leaked documents from a joint U.S.-Mexico task force suggested that CBP helped compile a "secret database" of journalists and activists, which included social media information. ¹³

Rather than quell public concern, this PIA—published only a couple of weeks after that story broke—describes an initiative that appears to invite CBP to regard much online social media activity as relevant for review and retention. For example, situational awareness, defined as "information gathered from a variety of sources that, when communicated to emergency

⁴ *Id*. at 1.

⁵ *Id*.

⁶ *Id*.

⁷ *Id*. at 2.

⁸ *Id*.

⁹ *Id*. at 4.

¹⁰ *Id*.

¹¹ Id

¹² See, e.g., Tom Jones, Mari Payton & Bill Feather, Source: Leaked Documents Show the U.S. Government Tracking Journalists and Immigration Advocates Through a Secret Database, NBC 7 San Diego (Mar. 6, 2019), https://www.nbcsandiego.com/investigations/Source-Leaked-Documents-Show-the-US-Government-Tracking-Journalists-and-Advocates-Through-a-Secret-Database-506783231.html; Mari Payton, Paul Krueger & Tom Jones, Critics Blast Secret US Government Surveillance of Journalists, Attorneys, and Border Activists, NBC 7 San Diego (March 8, 2019), https://www.nbcsandiego.com/news/local/Critics-Blast-Secret-US-Government-Surveillance-of-Journalists-Attorneys-and-Border-Activists-506860971.html.

Tom Jones, Mari Payton & Bill Feather, *Source: Leaked Documents Show the U.S. Government Tracking Journalists and Immigration Advocates Through a Secret Database*, NBC 7 San Diego (Mar. 6, 2019), https://www.nbcsandiego.com/investigations/Source-Leaked-Documents-Show-the-US-Government-Tracking-Journalists-and-Advocates-Through-a-Secret-Database-506783231.html.

managers and decision makers, can form the basis for incident management decision making"¹⁴ could permit CBP to monitor and retain a broad swath of innocent expressive conduct and First Amendment protected activity. Furthermore, CBP's keyword lists "may be developed for high interest events (e.g., trials or conferences where there may be an increased threat risk)."¹⁵ While the PIA does state that CBP makes efforts "to minimize collecting information related to First Amendment activities,"¹⁶ disclosure of the training materials provided CBP personnel on the First Amendment and social media could offer information about the scope and effectiveness of such efforts. For example, does the Directive permit monitoring of the use of a hashtag associated with a planned protest, or efforts to provide humanitarian aid? Such monitoring would chill the exercise of constitutional rights including the rights to free speech and association.

Without access to the guidance and training materials provided CBP personnel who engage in social media monitoring, the general public has no way of assessing whether their First Amendment rights are sufficiently safeguarded. In the wake of notable reports of social media surveillance by CBP, the public has a right to be concerned about CBP's Situational Awareness Initiative, and an interest in reviewing the requested materials.

III. Application for Waiver of Fees

CDT requests a waiver of document search, review, and duplication fees on the grounds that disclosure of the requested records is in the public interest and because disclosure is "likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government and is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester." 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii). CDT also requests a waiver of search fees on the grounds that CDT qualifies as a "representative of the news media" and the records are not sought for commercial use. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II).

1. Disclosure of the requested records is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of operations or activities of the government and is not primarily in the commercial interest of CDT.

There is significant interest in CBP surveillance and enforcement conduct at and between Ports of Entry, and numerous news accounts reflect the considerable public interest in the collection of social media information by government agencies. CBP monitoring and collection of social media information is a topic of substantial public attention, and the continued use of social media data collection and screening has received coverage from the news media.¹⁷ The Trump administration's

¹⁴ U.S. Dep't of Homeland Sec., Customs and Border Protection, DHS/CBP/PIA-058, Privacy Impact Assessment for the Publicly Available Social Media Monitoring and Situational Awareness Initiative, 1 (March 25, 2019), https://www.dhs.gov/sites/default/files/publications/privacy-pia-cbp58-socialmedia-march2019.pdf.

¹⁵ *Id.* at 3.

¹⁶ *Id*. at 11.

¹⁷ See, e.g., Matt Novak, US Homeland Security Says Tracking Social Media of Immigrants is Nothing New, Gizmodo (Sept. 28, 2017), https://gizmodo.com/us-homeland-security-says-tracking-social-media-of-immi-1818875395; Shirin Jaafari, The new DHS plan to gather social media information has privacy advocates up in arms, PRI (Oct. 12, 2017), https://www.pri.org/stories/2017-10-12/new-dhs-plan-gather-social-media-information-has-privacy-advocates-arms; Rachel Levinson-Waldman, How ICE and Other DHS Agencies Mine Social Media in the Name of National Security, Common Dreams (June 5, 2019), https://www.commondreams.org/views/2019/06/05/how-ice-and-other-dhs-agencies-mine-social-media-name-national-security; Shera S. Avi-Yonah and Delano R. Franklin, Incoming Harvard Freshman Deported After Visa Revoked, The Harvard Crimson (Aug. 27, 2019),

plans to expand collection of social media information as part of President Trump's "extreme vetting" proposal has also received extensive news coverage. While CBP has been unclear about how and when it is utilizing social media monitoring, leaked documents from a joint U.S.-Mexico task force showed that CBP had helped compile slides and dossiers on journalists, activists, and lawyers that included social media information. Finally, with recent security breaches from a CBP contractor releasing sensitive biometric data on the Internet, there is substantial interest in the methods CBP is using to collect and store social media information and other personal data.

CDT is not filing this FOIA to fulfill a commercial interest. This request is made in furtherance of the work CDT does for the public interest.

2. CDT qualifies as "a representative of the news media" and the records requested are not sought for commercial use.

CDT also requests a waiver of search fees on the grounds that CDT qualifies as a "representative of the news media" and the records are not sought for commercial use. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II). CDT meets the statutory and regulatory definitions of a "representative of the news media" because it is an "entity that gathers information of potential interest to a segment of the public, uses its editorial skills to turn the raw materials into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience." 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(III). CDT maintains an active website and social media presence that helps it distribute its commentary and reporting on topical issues related to its mandate. CDT also maintains a bi-weekly newsletter through which it disseminates new material

_

https://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2019/06/travelers-images-stolen-attack-cbp/591403/; Maggie Miller, Lawmakers demand answers on Border Patrol data breach, The Hill (June 11, 2019),

https://thehill.com/policy/cybersecurity/448015-lawmakers-demand-answers-on-border-patrol-breach; Russell Brandom, *US border agency cuts ties with breached surveillance contractor*, The Verge (July 4, 2019), https://www.theverge.com/2019/7/4/20682143/perceptics-us-customs-border-breach-surveillance-suspended.

https://www.thecrimson.com/article/2019/8/27/incoming-freshman-deported/.

¹⁸ See, e.g., Tal Kopan, US to require would-be immigrants to turn over social media handles, CNN (March 29, 2018), https://www.cnn.com/2018/03/29/politics/immigrants-social-media-information/index.html; Saagar Enjeti, Trump administration to ask most US visa applicants for social media information, The Hill (May 31, 2019), https://thehill.com/hilltv/rising/446336-trump-admin-to-ask-most-us-visa-applicants-for-social-media-information; Faiza Patel, Snooping on Foreigners' Facebook Feeds Is Ineffective and Creepy, The Atlantic (June 14, 2019), https://www.theatlantic.com/ideas/archive/2019/06/if-us-takes-visa-applicants-social-media-handles/591544/.

19 See, e.g., Tom Jones, Mari Payton & Bill Feather, Source: Leaked Documents Show the U.S. Government Tracking Journalists and Immigration Advocates Through a Secret Database, NBC 7 San Diego (Mar. 6, 2019), https://www.nbcsandiego.com/investigations/Source-Leaked-Documents-Show-the-US-Government-Tracking-Journalists-and-Advocates-Through-a-Secret-Database-506783231.html; Salvador Hernandez, The US Compiled A Secret List Of Journalists, Attorneys, And Activists To Question At The Border, BuzzFeed News (Mar. 7, 2019), https://www.buzzfeednews.com/article/salvadorhernandez/government-list-journalists-border-immigration-question; Elliot Spagat, Border agency watchdog looking into caravan database, Associated Press (Mar. 7, 2019), https://www.apnews.com/b990c949e7ad4884a2a7dac389885e9a; Ryan Devereaux, The U.S. Targeted Journalists On The Border. Two Senators Want to Know Why., The Intercept (Mar. 11, 2019),

https://theintercept.com/2019/03/11/southern-us-border-mexico-journalists/.

20 See, e.g., Drew Harwell, U.S. Customs and Border Protection says photos of travelers were taken in a data breach, The Washington Post (June 10, 2019), https://www.washingtonpost.com/technology/2019/06/10/us-customs-border-protection-says-photos-travelers-into-out-country-were-recently-taken-data-breach/; Sidney Fussell, This Is Exactly What Privacy Experts Said Would Happen, The Atlantic (June 11, 2019),

²¹ See, e.g., Natasha Duarte, 5 Takeaways from the New DHS Privacy Guidance, Ctr. For Democracy & Tech. (May 17, 2017), https://cdt.org/blog/5-takeaways-from-the-new-dhs-privacy-guidance/; Mana Azarmi, Location Data: The More They Know, Ctr. For Democracy & Tech. (Nov. 27, 2017), https://cdt.org/blog/location-data-the-more-they-know/; Greg Nojeim & Mana Azarmi, Court Steps in to Protect Constitutional Rights at the Border, Ctr. For

like blog posts and insights to subscribers. CDT will release the requested documents to the public without charge.

For these reasons CDT requests that fees for this FOIA request be waived.

Please furnish materials associated with this request to:

Mana Azarmi
Center for Democracy & Technology
1401 K Street NW, Suite 200
Washington, DC 20005
mazarmi@cdt.org
(202) 407-8828

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully,

Mana Azarmi Policy Counsel Center for Democracy & Technology

Evan Ringel Legal Intern Center for Democracy & Technology

Democracy & Tech. (May 11, 2018), https://cdt.org/blog/courts-step-in-to-protect-constitutional-rights-at-the-border; Mana Azarmi, CBP's Border Searches Struggle to Comply with Constitution and Agency Policy, Ctr. For Democracy & Tech. (Dec. 18, 2018), https://cdt.org/blog/cbps-border-searches-struggle-to-comply-with-constitution-and-agency-policy/.